



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,614	07/31/2000	John Christopher Brock	2386.2007-000	3854
21005	7590	12/30/2004	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			KANG, PAUL H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application N .</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/628,614	BROCK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Paul H Kang	2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner Yussuf Sajid is no longer assigned to the present patent application. This

5 application is now assigned to Examiner Paul H. Kang. In examining this patent application, full faith and credit has been given to the search and action of the previous examiner. See MPEP § 719.05.

***Claim Rejections ~ 35 U.S.C. § 103***

10 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

15 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 12, 23, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over  
20 Eldumiati et al. (US 2002/0012388 A1), in view of Hendel et al. (US 5,313,582), and further in view of Rizvi et al. (US 6,199,110).

As per claims 1, 12, 23, 25, and 27, Eldumiati teaches sending connection data, wherein the connection data is associated with a current connection between the server communication device and the data access device, from the server communication device to the data access device for storage on the data access device (Page 2, paragraphs [0025]-[0030]: Eldumiati discloses a modem transmitting identification data to another modem and further encoded in

accordance with any number of coding algorithm. Eldumiati further teaches wherein the data exchanged has to do with the current connection such that the data can help in identifying and diagnosing connectivity problems particularly in connections that fail before communication protocols can be established). However, Eldumiati doesn't explicitly teach the server

- 5 communication device to send a storage capability request to the data access device for determining storage capability of the data access device, nor does he explicitly teach the data access device replying with a storage capability reply to the server communication device, and sending the connection data based on the storage capability reply.

Hendel teaches a method and apparatus for buffering data within stations of a

- 10 communication network, where each station consists of CPU, a program memory, a system memory, a communication controller, a system bus, and a communication medium interface unit (Col 2, lines 5-13). Hendel further discloses a memory storage request from the host processor to Packet Number Assignment Unit 62', the packet number assignment unit will transmit a page request signal to memory allocation and management unit 61', which in response, searches from 15 available space, and either returns a valid packet number or invalid number to the host processor based on available memory (Col 25, lines 24-57).

By implementing the buffering of data in the system of Eldumiati, the modems of Eldumiati would have less strain on them and have more processing power to execute other functions.

- 20 It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hendel in the system of Eldumiati, because by implementing the method as described above, each station is enabled to receive and transmit

Art Unit: 2141

consecutive data packets in a manner less sensitive to processor interrupt latency, while optimally using memory and minimizing host processor overhead and necessity of copying data between structures (Col. 1, lines 9-16).

Further, Eldumaiati-Hendel does not explicitly teach a system and method further

- 5 comprising sending and storing the connection data for subsequent retrieval by the server communications device or another server communications device during a subsequent connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Rizvi teaches a system and method for planned session

termination wherein session information is stored on the client for subsequent retrieval by the

- 10 server, or another server (Rizvi, see Abstract and col. 2, lines 5-13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have incorporated the session information retrieval system, as taught by

Rizvi, into the system of Eldumaiati-Hendel for the purpose of providing failover session

connectivity.

15

**Claims 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechleider et al. (US 6,091,713) in view of Rizvi et al. (US 6,199,110).**

- 20 As per claims 2, 13, 24, 26, and 28, Lechleider teaches a method to send, from a server communication device, to a data access device, a connection data request, and further to receive at the server communication device, the connection data from the data access device, the

connection data associated with one or more prior connections between the server communications device and the data access device and further store the connection data in the data access device in a non-permanent manner (Col. 3, lines 30-35; Col. 5, lines 65-66; Col. 4, lines 34-37; Col. 9, lines 35-45: Lechleider teaches establishing an end to end voice band modem

5 connection from a logic device, computer with a modem, to a remote computer of a network service provider, and collects information from the modem, where the information can be data pertaining to previous connections such as: not being able to connect, being previously disconnected or having been connected at a lower than optimal data transfer rate, and further storing the data in the modem's internal registers).

10 However, Lechleider does not explicitly teach a system and method further comprising sending and storing the connection data for subsequent retrieval by the server communications device or another server communications device during a subsequent connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Rizvi teaches a system and method for planned session termination wherein session information is stored on the client for subsequent retrieval by the  
15 server, or another server (Rizvi, see Abstract and col. 2, lines 5-13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the session information retrieval system, as taught by Rizvi, into the system of Lechleider for the purpose of providing failover session connectivity.

20 As per claims 4 and 15, Lechleider-Rizvi further teaches determining a performance characteristic of the communication system using the retrieved connection data (Abstract; Col. 7,

lines 24-47: the system gives network service providers the ability to predict the performance of any broadband transmission channels).

As per claims 5 and 16, Lechleider-Rizvi further teaches configuring a component

- 5 connected to the communication system using the retrieved connection data (Col. 5, lines 56-65: Modems use the information to determine the optimum operating conditions when making an end-to-end connection).

As per claims 9 and 20, , Lechleider-Rizvi further discloses a reduced training connection

- 10 protocol used by the data access device where the connection data indicates a reset of the reduced training connection protocol should be considered (Col. 5, lines 56 - 65; Col. 9, lines 50-66; Col. 10, lines 1-17; Col. 9, lines 40-41; Lechleider teaches any modem and protocol which collects information about analog properties of an end-to-end connection. He further teaches the ISP to determine the root cause of a faulty connection and guarantee pre-specified levels of 15 performance, such as not connecting at optimal connection rates).

As per claims 11 and 22, , Lechleider-Rizvi further teaches the data access device is an

- analog modem, a digital subscriber line modem, an integrated digital network modem, a cable modem, a power line modem, and a wireless modem (the system gives network service providers 20 the ability to predict the performance of any broadband transmission channels (Abstract; Col. 7, lines 24-47).

**Claims 6, 10, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechleider-Rizvi, in view of Eldumiati et al. (US 2002/0012388 A1), and further in view of Bhatia et al. (US 6,118,768).**

5 As per claims 10 and 21, Lechleider-Rizvi discloses the claimed invention as described above. Lechleider-Rizvi teaches that by storing the identifiers in the modems, the system then is able to use the information collected by the modems to estimate the performance of the subscribers (Lechleider, Abstract: Col. 3, lines 23-27). However Lechleider-Rizvi does not explicitly teach the connection data comprising of a server communications device identifier, a  
10 data access device identifier, an Internet Service Provider identifier, a software version identifier, or a recently used Internet Protocol Address.

Eldumiati discloses the exchange of information containing a platform identifier, a controller revision, a DSP revision, a firmware revision, a customer platform identifier, customer code revision identifier, modem initialization strings and other configuration information and  
15 remote query by the central site of client AT command responses (Page 2, paragraphs [00250]-[0029]; Page 3, paragraphs [0039]-[0046]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Eldumiati in the system of Lechleider-Rizvi, because by storing the identifiers in the modems, it is helpful in identifying and diagnosing connectivity problems and it enables the ISP to determine if the client modem requires a code update by analyzing any revision data contained in the exchange (Page 2, paragraph [0030]). However, Eldumiati doesn't explicitly teach further storing recently used Internet Protocol Addresses.

Bhatia teaches an ISDN LAN modem that automatically adapts itself to a current network environment of a workstation connected thereto, via the LAN, and then obtains configuration information from a user, and further stores the IP addresses and subnets (Abstract; Col. 24, lines 40-41).

5 It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bhatia in the system of Lechleider, because by storing the identifiers in the modems, it allows the modem to transparently establish the connection between the workstations and the ISP without prompting the user (Col. 5, 43-45).

10 As per claims 6 and 17, Bhatia disclose the claimed invention as described above. Lechleider-Rizvi-Eldumiati-Bhatia further discloses storing IP addresses in a router connected to the communication system (Bhatia: Fig. 1; Col. 5, lines 10-35).

15 **Claims 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechleider-Rizvi, and further in view of Karpoff (US 2001/0049740 A1).**

As per claims 3 and 14, Lechleider-Rizvi disclose the claimed invention as described above but does not explicitly teach the connection data request to comprise of offset and length parameters.

20 In the same field of endeavor, Karpoff teaches a system and method for providing information over wide area networks. Karpoff further teaches a server sending a data request to a

controller device containing a controller card, and such request contains file offset and the length of the file (Page 9, paragraph [0116]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Karpoff in the system of Lechleider-Rizvi, because by 5 including an offset and length in the data request, the data being read can be delivered without further involving the server (Page 2, paragraph [0022]).

**Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechleider-Rizvi, and further in view of Yip et al. (US 6,374,375).**

10

As per claims 7 and 18, Lechleider-Rizvi disclose the claimed invention as described above but does not explicitly teach the data access device to support a reduced training connection protocol and using the connection data to reset the protocol.

Yip discloses a modem transmitting data in the data mode to initiate retraining. Yip 15 teaches an ITU-T standardized modem to send test signal embedded in the data to a second modem where second modem compares the test signal and if needed, retrains the modem (Abstract; Col. 2, lines 25-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yip et al. in the system of Lechleider-Rizvi, because by 20 transmitting and monitoring a test signal in the data mode advantageously provides a way for the modem to monitor changing line conditions in the data mode that is simple, transparent and independent (Yip: Col. 2, lines 51-54).

**Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechleider-Rizvi-Yip, and further in view of Davis et al. (US 6,611,563).**

5 As per claims 8 and 19, Lechleider-Rizvi-Yip discloses the claimed invention as described above and further teaches using ITU-T Recommendation V.34. However, Lechleider-Rizvi-Yip does not explicitly teach using an ITU-T Recommendation V.92 protocol.

Davis teaches a data access device connected to a PSTN Network further connected to a Server Communication Device, which is then connected to an ISP server, where the V.90 10 standard has been implemented (Fig .1; Col. 1, lines 45-67; Col. 2, lines 1-40). Examiner notes that ITU-T Recommendation V.92 is a simple enhancement of V.90 incorporated here within.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any ITU-T recommendation in the system of Lechleider-Rizvi-Yip because any 15 ITU-T recommendation would have been capable to transfer data from end-to-end connections over a telephone network in a given period of time (Yip: Col. 1, lines 23-38) and because V.90 takes advantage of the digital conversions that have been made in the OSTN, and V.90 technology can accelerate data downstream from the Internet or other information source to a subscriber's computer (Davis: Col. 1, lines 45-63).

20

***Response to Arguments & Amendments***

Applicant's arguments filed September 23, 2004 have been considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The applicant argued in substance that the prior art of

Art Unit: 2141

record does not teach a system wherein the connection data is previously sent from the server and retrieved subsequently. The new grounds of rejection teaches this feature.

***Conclusion***

5 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 10 supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished 15 applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
PAUL H. KANG  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER